Contents

1	Gen	eral In	troduction	1				
	1.1	Backg	round: Ineffective Rule-of-Law Reforms in Mexico	1				
	1.2	esearch Gap: 'Culture' as the Miscellaneous Explanation	3					
	1.3							
	1.4	iew of the Study	7					
	References							
2	Rule-of-Law and Judicial Federalism: The Role of Ordinary Courts							
	in tł	ne Enfo	rcement of Fundamental Rights	11				
	2.1	The R	ule-of-Law Concept Revisited:					
		The R	ule-of-the-Constitution	13				
		2.1.1	A Concept Within Two Debates	13				
		2.1.2	A Matter of Predictability	17				
		2.1.3	Constitutional Supremacy and Fundamental Rights	21				
	2.2	Const	itutional Scrutiny as Judicial Review	24				
		2.2.1	Constitutional Review, the Courts, and the Individual	24				
		2.2.2	Fundamental Political Decisions and Constitutional					
			Review	28				
		2.2.3	Horizontal Separation of Powers and Constitutional					
			(Judicial) Review	32				
	2.3	Judici	al Review and Judicial Federalism	37				
		2.3.1	Vertical Separation of Powers and Judicial Review	37				
		2.3.2	"American" Judicial Federalism	39				
		2.3.3	"European" Judicial Federalism	42				
		2.3.4	Constitutional (Judicial) Review of Judicial Action	45				
	2.4	Ordinary Courts and the Realization of Fundamental Rights:						
		Towards an Adequate Functional Division in Constitutional						
	Review		w	49				
		2.4.1	Two Dimensions of Constitutional Scrutiny (Rights)	49				
		2.4.2	Towards an Adequate Division of Tasks in Constitutional					
			Scrutiny	54				

xiv Contents

		2.4.3	The Role of Ordinary Courts in Fundamental Rights Issues:	5 0						
		2 4 4	The 'Direct Effect of the Constitution'	58						
	2.5	2.4.4	The Guiding Role of Constitutional Jurisdiction	60 66						
	2.5	1								
	Refe	erences		67						
3	Constitutional Review in the United States of America: Does									
	"Dif	fused"	Mean Complete Decentralization?	71						
	3.1	Basic	Rights Enforcement Before the Civil War (1776–1860)	76						
		3.1.1	The Federal Bill of Rights as a Guarantee <i>for</i> the States	76						
		3.1.2	Judicial Enforcement of Fundamental Rights in the							
			Antebellum	87						
	3.2	Postw	ar Increase on Federal Oversight: The Blurring of							
		Boundaries Between State and Federal Jurisdiction (1865–1988)								
		3.2.1	Reconstruction: The Federal Bill of Rights as a Guarantee							
			Against the States	96						
		3.2.2	The "Due Process Revolution" and the Maximization of							
			Federal Judicial Power over the States	105						
	3.3	Ameri	ican State Courts as Primary Guarantors of Fundamental							
			· 5	116						
		3.3.1	The Assumption of Judicial Parity and Its Limits:							
			Exclusive Federal Court Jurisdiction	116						
		3.3.2	Fostering Federalism: Concurrent Jurisdiction with State							
			Courts	122						
	3.4	The G	duiding Function of the Federal Judicial Review of State							
		Court	Judgments	129						
		3.4.1	Lower Federal Court Collateral Relief Through Habeas							
			Corpus: A 'Right' to Federal Review of a State Court							
			Decision?	129						
		3.4.2	Supreme Court Appellate Review via Writ of Certiorari	139						
	3.5	Chapt	er Conclusions	145						
	Refe	erences		148						
4	The	Germs	an System of Constitutional Review: Prototype							
•	of a	Conce	ntrated Model?	155						
	4.1		Rights as a Core Element of the German Rechtsstaat	158						
	т. 1	4.1.1	Formal Versus Material Rechtsstaat	158						
		4.1.2	Concretizing the 'Basic Rights Rechtsstaat'	164						
	4.2		lurring of Boundaries Between Ordinary and Constitutional	104						
	7.4		al Review	170						
		4.2.1	The Need for a Specialized Constitutional Jurisdiction	170						
		4.2.2	The Jurisprudential Expansion of the Constitutional	170						
		1.2.2	Jurisdiction	174						
	4.3	Ordine	ary German Courts as the Main Protectors of Basic Rights	181						
	т.Э	Orum	ary Comman Courts as the Mann Protectors of Dasie Mights	101						

Contents xv

		4.3.1	Ordinary Court Empowerment to Review the			
			Constitutionality of Legislation: An Invalidation			
			Monopoly?	181		
		4.3.2	Recourse Against Basic Rights Violations due to the			
			Incorrect Interpretation and Application of 'the Law'	187		
	4.4	The F	ederal Constitutional Court as a Lighthouse	195		
		4.4.1	An Individual's Way to the BVerfG: A "Citizens'			
			Court"?	195		
		4.4.2	Constitutional Judgments on the Merits: The BVerfG's			
			Deference to German Ordinary Courts	200		
	4.5	Chapt	er Conclusions	206		
	Refe	rences		207		
5	Con	stitutio	nal Review in Mexico: A Best of All Worlds Solution?	213		
J	5.1		ef Recap on the Leading Systems of Constitutional Review	21.		
	3.1		undamental Rights	218		
		5.1.1	The American and the European "Models" Prima Facie	218		
		5.1.2	The "Models" and Fundamental Rights Enforcement	220		
	5.2		Mexican System Between Two Models (1847–2011)	224		
		5.2.1	American Influence in Mexican Judicial Review			
			(1847–1987)	224		
		5.2.2	A "Turn" Towards Continental Europe (1987–2011)	231		
	5.3	The Expediente Varios 912/2010 and the Incorporation of				
		Diffused Constitutional Review in Mexico				
		5.3.1	The "Judicial" Incorporation of Diffused Review	238		
		5.3.2	The Nuevo León Judgment and the Bills on Diffused			
			Control	242		
	5.4	Qualif	fied Majorities in the Supreme Court as a Rule-of-Law			
		Distor	tion	246		
		5.4.1	What the Constitution Says	246		
		5.4.2	What the Supreme Court Does	249		
	5.5	Some	Urgent Reforms	250		
		5.5.1	Real Empowerment for the Ordinary Courts	250		
		5.5.2	Let the Supreme Court Be Supreme: Exemplary			
			Function of the Constitutional Jurisdiction	251		
	5.6		er Conclusions	253		
	Refe	rences		256		
6	General Conclusions					
	6.1		etical Legal Requirements for Rule-of-Law Achievement	259		
	6.2	Current American Constitutional Review Matches the Model 2				
	6.3	Present-Day German Constitutional Review Matches the Model 2				
	6.4	Mexic	can Constitutional Review Does Not Match the Model	262		