

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction . . . . .</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Aim . . . . .	1
1.2	Need for Credit Rating . . . . .	1
1.3	Literature Review . . . . .	2
1.4	Need for Research . . . . .	3
1.5	Research Questions . . . . .	3
1.6	Gatekeepers' Role and Accountability . . . . .	4
1.7	Research Method . . . . .	5
1.7.1	Doctrinal Analysis and Black Letter Law . . . . .	5
1.8	The Research Agenda . . . . .	6
1.9	Regulation . . . . .	6
1.10	The Research Boundaries . . . . .	7
1.11	Book's Contribution to Knowledge . . . . .	8
1.12	Outline . . . . .	9
	References . . . . .	9
<b>2</b>	<b>Theories, Rating Failure and the Subprime Mortgage Crisis . . . . .</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	11
2.2	Regulatory Models and Theories . . . . .	12
2.2.1	The Rationale for Regulation . . . . .	12
2.2.2	Various Other Theories . . . . .	19
2.3	An Introduction to Credit Ratings . . . . .	24
2.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	24
2.3.2	Moody's and Fitch: Ratings Volume and Revenue Generated . . . . .	30
2.3.3	Conclusion . . . . .	32
2.4	The Subprime Mortgage Crisis . . . . .	33
2.4.1	What Is Structured Finance? . . . . .	33
2.4.2	What Led to the Crisis? . . . . .	36
2.4.3	The Housing Market . . . . .	36
2.4.4	Financial Engineering . . . . .	37

2.4.5	The Role of Investment Bankers . . . . .	38
2.4.6	Globalisation . . . . .	39
2.4.7	The Lenders' Role . . . . .	40
2.4.8	Market Turmoil . . . . .	41
2.4.9	General Criticisms . . . . .	44
2.4.10	The Blunder . . . . .	46
2.4.11	The Credit Crunch . . . . .	46
2.4.12	The Result of the Crisis . . . . .	47
2.4.13	The Culprits Blamed for the Crisis . . . . .	48
2.4.14	Causes and Complexity of the Financial Crisis . . . . .	48
2.5	The Saga of Enron, Lehman Brothers and Northern Rock . . . . .	52
2.5.1	Enron's Saga . . . . .	53
2.5.2	Lehman Brothers . . . . .	55
2.5.3	The Northern Rock Fiasco . . . . .	58
2.5.4	Reliance by Investors . . . . .	60
2.5.5	Conclusion . . . . .	61
	References . . . . .	63
<b>3</b>	<b>US and EU Soft Law: Self-Regulation . . . . .</b>	<b>71</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	71
3.1.1	What Is Soft Law? . . . . .	72
3.2	IOSCO Code of Conduct . . . . .	73
3.2.1	Background . . . . .	73
3.3	Adoption of the IOSCO Code . . . . .	76
3.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	76
3.3.2	Adoption of the IOSCO Principles . . . . .	77
3.3.3	Conflicts of Interest . . . . .	78
3.3.4	Quality of the Rating Process . . . . .	79
3.3.5	Unsolicited Ratings . . . . .	80
3.3.6	Transparency . . . . .	81
3.3.7	Expectation Gap . . . . .	82
3.3.8	Due Diligence . . . . .	84
3.3.9	Opinion Shopping and Credit Spotting . . . . .	86
3.3.10	Sanctions . . . . .	86
3.3.11	Provisional Conclusion . . . . .	87
3.4	Deficiencies, Sanctions and Conclusion . . . . .	88
3.4.1	Deficiencies of the IOSCO Code . . . . .	88
3.4.2	Sanctions for Breaches of the Code . . . . .	89
3.4.3	Conclusion . . . . .	89
	References . . . . .	91
<b>4</b>	<b>US Statutory Regulation . . . . .</b>	<b>93</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	93
4.2	Credit Rating Agency Reform Act 2006 . . . . .	93
4.2.1	Introduction . . . . .	93
4.2.2	Background to Regulation . . . . .	94

4.2.3	NRSRO as a <i>De Facto</i> Oligopoly . . . . .	95
4.2.4	Corporate Governance . . . . .	95
4.2.5	Regulating Credit Rating Agencies . . . . .	96
4.2.6	Abusive Practices . . . . .	97
4.2.7	The Need for the Reform Act . . . . .	98
4.2.8	Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (NRSRO) . . . . .	107
4.2.9	Oversight . . . . .	110
4.2.10	Barriers to Entry . . . . .	111
4.2.11	Tackling CRAs . . . . .	112
4.2.12	The Issue of Competition . . . . .	116
4.2.13	Is There a Better Way of Compensating CRAs? . . . . .	118
4.2.14	Interim Conclusion . . . . .	119
4.3	Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act 2010 (Dodd-Frank) . . . . .	120
4.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	120
4.3.2	Too Big to Fail . . . . .	121
4.3.3	The NRSRO's Role . . . . .	121
4.3.4	Gatekeeper Theory Revisited . . . . .	123
4.3.5	Dodd-Frank's Regulation of CRAs . . . . .	123
4.3.6	SEC's Studies . . . . .	135
4.3.7	Expert Liability and Legal Defences . . . . .	139
4.4	Assessment . . . . .	141
4.4.1	Public Oversight and Accountability . . . . .	141
4.4.2	Comments by Others . . . . .	143
4.4.3	Conclusions . . . . .	144
	References . . . . .	145
<b>5</b>	<b>EU Statutory Regulation . . . . .</b>	<b>151</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	151
5.2	Need for Statutory Regulation . . . . .	152
5.2.1	Inadequacy of the Pre-existing Regulations in the EU . . . . .	152
5.3	Salient Features of the Regulation . . . . .	154
5.3.1	Distinction Between Solicited/Unsolicited Ratings . . . . .	154
5.3.2	Methodologies . . . . .	155
5.3.3	Competition . . . . .	155
5.3.4	Assessment . . . . .	156
5.3.5	Disclosure of Information and Due Diligence . . . . .	156
5.4	Regulation Dealing with Conflicts of Interest . . . . .	157
5.4.1	Rating and Consultancy . . . . .	158
5.4.2	Managing Conflicts of Interest . . . . .	158
5.4.3	Independence . . . . .	159
5.4.4	Methodologies, Models and Key Assumptions . . . . .	160
5.4.5	Risk Warning Indication . . . . .	162
5.4.6	Transparency . . . . .	162

5.4.7	Raising Awareness for Users . . . . .	163
5.4.8	Prohibition of Forum Shopping . . . . .	163
5.4.9	Registration . . . . .	164
5.4.10	Penalties for Infringing the Provisions of Regulation . . . . .	164
5.4.11	Rotation of CRAs . . . . .	166
5.4.12	Who to Blame: Investors or CRAs? . . . . .	169
5.4.13	Reducing Reliance on Ratings . . . . .	170
5.5	Ethos of Regulations . . . . .	171
5.5.1	Regulatory Convergence . . . . .	171
5.5.2	The Applicable Law . . . . .	171
5.5.3	Globalisation: Need for a Level Playing Field . . . . .	171
5.5.4	Self-Regulation . . . . .	172
5.6	Quality Credit Rating . . . . .	172
5.6.1	Registration . . . . .	173
5.6.2	Supervision . . . . .	173
5.6.3	Sovereign Debt Rating . . . . .	174
5.7	Legal Accountability . . . . .	174
5.8	Conclusion . . . . .	177
	References . . . . .	178
<b>6</b>	<b>US Case Law Regulation . . . . .</b>	<b>181</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	181
6.2	Tort Law and Corrective Justice: Compensating Investors . . . . .	181
6.2.1	Corrective Justice and Distributive Justice . . . . .	182
6.2.2	Balancing of Interests . . . . .	183
6.3	Cause of Actions: Options Open to Investors . . . . .	183
6.3.1	Common Law Fraud in the US . . . . .	183
6.4	Negligent and Fraudulent Misrepresentation . . . . .	188
6.4.1	Reasonable Reliance and Disclaimer . . . . .	188
6.4.2	Third-Party Beneficiary Rule . . . . .	189
6.5	Drawback to Investors' Lawsuits . . . . .	191
6.5.1	Motion to Dismiss and Standard of Review . . . . .	191
6.5.2	Pleading and Liability Standards Under the Reform Act . . . . .	194
6.6	Liability for False Ratings Statements in Public Registration . . . . .	195
6.6.1	Pleadings . . . . .	195
6.6.2	States of Mind in Private Actions: Section 933 of the <i>Dodd-Frank Act</i> . . . . .	196
6.7	Protection Afforded to CRAs . . . . .	197
6.7.1	First Amendment Protection of Expressions of Opinion . . . . .	197
6.7.2	Litigation by Issuers . . . . .	198
6.7.3	Exceptions to First Amendment Defence . . . . .	199
6.8	The Protection Afforded by New York Shield Law . . . . .	204
6.8.1	What Constitutes Journalism Privileges? . . . . .	205
6.8.2	The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act 1995 . . . . .	207

Contents	xxv
6.9      Claims by the Issuers . . . . .	207
6.9.1    Defamation . . . . .	207
6.10     Breach of Contract and Professional Negligence Claims . . . . .	208
6.10.1   County of Orange v. McGraw Hill Companies, Inc. . . . .	209
6.10.2   Out-of-Court Settlement . . . . .	209
6.10.3   More Suits Follow . . . . .	210
6.10.4   Damages Awarded Against CRAs . . . . .	213
6.11     Contractual and Tortious Liability in England . . . . .	214
6.11.1   Privity of Contract . . . . .	214
6.11.2   Third-Party Beneficiary Rule . . . . .	215
6.12     Tort Liability . . . . .	216
6.12.1   Caparo and CRAs . . . . .	217
6.13     Duty of Care in Australia: <i>Bathurst</i> Case . . . . .	219
6.14     Threat of Civil Liability . . . . .	221
6.15     Professional Indemnity Insurance (PII) . . . . .	223
6.16     Due Diligence . . . . .	224
6.17     Liability Conundrum . . . . .	225
6.18     Conclusion . . . . .	226
References . . . . .	227
<b>7 Regulation: Success or Failure? . . . . .</b>	<b>231</b>
7.1      Introduction . . . . .	231
7.2      Regulation: Revisiting the Research Agenda . . . . .	231
7.3      Reaping Profits Without Risking Capital . . . . .	232
7.4      Subprime Mortgage Crisis and Structured Financial Products (SFP) . . . . .	233
7.5      Have Credit Rating Agencies Lost Trust? . . . . .	234
7.6      Soft Law . . . . .	235
7.6.1    Self-Regulation . . . . .	235
7.6.2    Criticisms of Self-Regulation . . . . .	236
7.6.3    Disclaimer by CRAs . . . . .	237
7.7      Statutory Regulations . . . . .	238
7.7.1    NRSRO Registration and Competition Concern . . . . .	238
7.7.2    Competency and Competition . . . . .	239
7.8      Reduce Reliance on Ratings . . . . .	240
7.9      Globalisation and the Convergence of the Regulation . . . . .	241
7.10     Conflicts of Interest and Independence . . . . .	241
7.10.1   Conflicts of Interest . . . . .	241
7.10.2   Independence . . . . .	242
7.11     Imposition of Liability . . . . .	242
7.11.1   Breaches of Statutory Duties . . . . .	242
7.12     Reputational Risk . . . . .	243
7.13     Investors-Pay Model to Issuers-Pay Model . . . . .	243
7.14     Recommendations . . . . .	244
7.14.1   Prevention of Regulatory Failure . . . . .	245

7.15	Other Related Issues . . . . .	246
7.15.1	Sovereign Ratings . . . . .	246
7.15.2	Globalisation . . . . .	247
7.15.3	Legal Enforcement . . . . .	247
7.16	Formulation of a New Statutory Liability . . . . .	248
7.17	Summary of the Key Issues . . . . .	249
	References . . . . .	250
	<b>Glossary</b> . . . . .	253
	<b>Index</b> . . . . .	263