

# Contents

<b>1 Law, Economics and Finance Issues in Singapore's Housing Development Board Flats . . . . .</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 The Birth of the Housing Development Board . . . . .	1
1.2 HDB Upgrading Programme . . . . .	2
1.3 Recent Singapore HDB Development . . . . .	4
1.4 Legal Issues in HDB. . . . .	4
1.4.1 Quality Living Standard . . . . .	4
1.4.2 Restrictions on Ownership and Sales in HDB . . . . .	4
1.5 HDB Finance . . . . .	6
1.5.1 Recent Development of HDB Finance. . . . .	8
1.6 The Housing Sector and the Economy in Singapore . . . . .	8
1.7 Sustainable HDB Flats . . . . .	8
1.8 Case Studies . . . . .	13
1.8.1 Case Study 1: Toa Payoh . . . . .	13
1.8.2 Case Study 2: Little India (662 Buffalo Road) . . . . .	14
1.8.3 Case Study 3: The Pinnacle@Duxton . . . . .	16
1.9 Conclusion. . . . .	23
References . . . . .	24
<b>2 Internalization of Environmental Externality in Dwellings: Review of Court Cases in Hong Kong in the Past</b>	
<b>Two Decades . . . . .</b>	<b>27</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	27
2.2 Market Externality, Private Property Rights and Transaction Costs . . . . .	28
2.3 Liability of Negative Environmental Externality Producer Under Common Law . . . . .	31
2.3.1 Air Pollution . . . . .	32
2.3.2 Noise Pollution . . . . .	32
2.3.3 Water Seepage/Leakage. . . . .	33

2.4	Current Legal Rules and Regulations Concerning and Regulating the Problem of Externality in Residential Units . . . . .	33
2.4.1	Air Pollution Regulations . . . . .	33
2.4.2	Rules and Regulations on Noise . . . . .	34
2.4.3	Rules and Regulations on Water Seepage/Leakage . . . . .	34
2.5	Data . . . . .	37
2.6	Results . . . . .	37
2.6.1	Water Seepage/Leakage . . . . .	37
2.6.2	Noise Pollution . . . . .	38
2.6.3	Air Pollution . . . . .	40
2.7	Discussion and Conclusion . . . . .	41
	References . . . . .	41
<b>3</b>	<b>The Institutional Analysis of Fittings in Residential Units . . . . .</b>	<b>45</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	45
3.2	Background of Nanjing, Hong Kong and Boston . . . . .	46
3.2.1	Nanjing . . . . .	47
3.2.2	Hong Kong . . . . .	48
3.2.3	Boston . . . . .	48
3.3	Institutions and Contractual Arrangement . . . . .	48
3.3.1	Formal Institutions . . . . .	48
3.3.2	Informal Institutions . . . . .	49
3.3.3	Informal and Formal Institutions . . . . .	52
3.4	The Economic Systems of the Three Cities . . . . .	53
3.5	The Legal System of the Three Cities . . . . .	53
3.6	Discussion . . . . .	58
	References . . . . .	59
<b>4</b>	<b>Asymmetric Information and Residential Entrepreneurs' Choice on Provision of House Fittings . . . . .</b>	<b>63</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	63
4.2	Information, Imperfect Information and Asymmetric Information . . . . .	64
4.3	Risk-Averse Human Behaviour . . . . .	65
4.4	Housing Entrepreneurs' Decisions on Provision of Fittings . . . . .	66
4.5	Conclusion . . . . .	68
	References . . . . .	68
<b>5</b>	<b>Property, Credit and Business Cycles in Hong Kong . . . . .</b>	<b>71</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	71
5.2	Property, Credit and Business Cycles . . . . .	72
5.3	Data . . . . .	75

Contents	vii
5.4    Research Method . . . . .	75
5.5    Results . . . . .	78
5.6    Conclusion. . . . .	80
References . . . . .	82
<b>6    Should We Abandon the Concept of Artistic Craftsmanship in the Copyright Act in Australia? . . . . .</b>	<b>85</b>
6.1    Introduction . . . . .	85
6.2    The Inherent Problem of a “Work of Artistic Craftsmanship” (Hereafter WAC): There is no Definition Provided by the Copyright Act . . . . .	87
6.2.1    The Swarbrick Case . . . . .	87
6.2.2    Other Case Law . . . . .	88
6.3    Possibility of Removing the Category of WAC . . . . .	88
6.3.1    Can Other Categories of Artistic Work Take Over the Regime of the WAC? . . . . .	88
6.3.2    Can the Designs Act Take Over the Job? . . . . .	89
6.4    Reasons for Keeping the WAC in the Copyright Act: Practical Considerations Involved. . . . .	89
6.4.1    The Deficiency of the Designs Act. . . . .	89
6.4.2    The Deficiency of the Copyright Act . . . . .	90
6.4.3    Guidance for Interpreting a WAC. . . . .	91
6.4.4    Policy Considerations . . . . .	91
6.5    Conclusion. . . . .	92
References . . . . .	92
<b>7    An Offer, An Invitation to Treat and Transaction Costs . . . . .</b>	<b>95</b>
7.1    Introduction . . . . .	95
7.2    Invitation to Treat and Offer . . . . .	96
7.3    Asymmetric Information, Transaction Costs and Risk Aversion . . . . .	98
7.4    The Boots Case . . . . .	99
7.4.1    Judgments . . . . .	100
7.4.2    Arguments Supporting the Judgment of the Boots Case. . . . .	100
7.5    Poole’s Finding . . . . .	100
7.6    Display as an Invitation to Treat . . . . .	101
7.7    Extension . . . . .	101
7.8    Display in the Self-Service Shop as an Offer. . . . .	102
7.9    Conclusion. . . . .	103
References . . . . .	103

<b>8 The Effect of the Global Subprime Financial Crisis on the Macroeconomy and the Housing Market in the UK . . . . .</b>	105
8.1 Introduction . . . . .	105
8.2 Background of the United Kingdom . . . . .	106
8.2.1 Analysis of the Macroeconomic Environment . . . . .	106
8.2.2 International Investment Position . . . . .	106
8.3 Subprime Financial Crisis . . . . .	109
8.4 Research Methodology . . . . .	109
8.4.1 Data Sources . . . . .	110
8.4.2 Data Range . . . . .	110
8.5 Data Analysis on UK Housing Market . . . . .	110
8.5.1 Demand in the Housing Market . . . . .	110
8.5.2 Supply of the Housing Market . . . . .	114
8.6 The Reasons that the US Subprime Lending Affected the UK Real Estate Market . . . . .	114
8.7 Conclusion . . . . .	115
References . . . . .	116
<b>9 Changes from the Visible Hand to the Invisible Hand: The Land Market in Hong Kong Before and After the Asian Financial Crisis . . . . .</b>	119
9.1 Introduction . . . . .	119
9.2 An Overview of the Land Market in Hong Kong . . . . .	120
9.3 High Land Price Policy Before 1997 . . . . .	120
9.4 Abandon Annual 50 ha Limit on Land Disposal . . . . .	122
9.5 Immediate Market Response to the Removal of the 50 ha Land Restriction . . . . .	122
9.5.1 Price of Land . . . . .	122
9.5.2 A Change the Market Structure of Leasing Out Land . . . . .	123
9.5.3 Changing the Role of the Government in the Hong Kong Land Market . . . . .	124
9.5.4 The Intervention of the Visible Hand in the Land Market . . . . .	124
9.5.5 Unclear and Blurred Land Policy . . . . .	124
9.5.6 Increasing the Risk of Investment in the Land Market . . . . .	125
9.6 Land Application . . . . .	125
9.7 Change in the Role of Government: The Invisible Hand Replaces the Visible Hand . . . . .	126
9.7.1 The Disadvantage of Using the Visible Hand . . . . .	126
9.7.2 The Merits of Using the Market Mechanism (Invisible Hand) Instead of the Visible Hand . . . . .	127
9.7.3 Changes in Transaction Costs . . . . .	127
References . . . . .	129

<b>10 An Overview of Regulations that Protect Indirect Real Estate Investors in Hong Kong During Financial Crises . . . . .</b>	131
10.1 Introduction . . . . .	131
10.2 Indirect Real Estate Investment Tools . . . . .	133
10.2.1 Real Estate Stocks . . . . .	133
10.2.2 Real Estate Investment Trusts (REITs) . . . . .	134
10.2.3 Real Estate Derivative . . . . .	135
10.3 Laws and Regulations that Govern Real Estate Stocks, Derivatives and REITs . . . . .	138
10.3.1 Common Law in Hong Kong: Jurisprudence and Legal Reasoning . . . . .	138
10.4 Statutes of Hong Kong Real Estate Derivatives, REITs and Stocks . . . . .	140
10.4.1 Regulation of Real Estate Stocks . . . . .	140
10.4.2 Regulation of Real Estate Investment Trusts (REITs) . . . . .	141
10.4.3 Regulation of Hong Kong's Derivatives . . . . .	142
10.4.4 Corporate Governance . . . . .	143
10.5 Conclusion . . . . .	144
References . . . . .	145