

# CONTENTS

---

Contributors vii

1. Law and Neuroscience: Historical Context 1

*Nicole A Vincent*

PART 1 Responsibility and Mental Capacity 25

2. Common Criminal Law Compatibilism 27

*Stephen J. Morse*

3. What Can Neurosciences Say About Responsibility? Taking the  
Distinction Between Theoretical and Practical Reason Seriously 53

*Anne Ruth Mackor*

4. Irrationality, Mental Capacities, and Neuroscience 85

*Jillian Craigie and Alicia Coram*

PART 2 Reappraising Agency 111

5. Skepticism Concerning Human Agency: Sciences of the  
Self Versus “Voluntariness” in the Law 113

*Paul Sheldon Davies*

6. The Implications of Heuristics and Biases Research on Moral and Legal  
Responsibility: A Case Against the Reasonable Person Standard 135

*Leora Dahan-Katz*

7. Moral Responsibility and Consciousness: Two Challenges,  
One Solution 163

*Neil Levy*

PART 3 Assessment 181

8. Translating Scientific Evidence into the Language of the  
“Folk”: Executive Function as Capacity-Responsibility 183

*Katrina L. Sifferd*

9. Neuroscience, Deviant Appetites, and the Criminal Law 205  
*Colin Gavaghan*
- PART 4 Disease and Disorder 227
10. Is Psychopathy a Mental Disease? 229  
*Thomas Nadelhoffer and Walter P. Sinnott-Armstrong*
11. Addiction, Choice, and Disease: How Voluntary Is  
Voluntary Action in Addiction? 257  
*Jeanette Kennett*
12. How May Neuroscience Affect the Way that the Criminal  
Courts Deal with Addicted Offenders? 279  
*Wayne Hall and Adrian Carter*
- PART 5 Modification 303
13. Enhancing Responsibility 305  
*Nicole A Vincent*
14. Guilty Minds in Washed Brains? Manipulation Cases and the Limits  
of Neuroscientific Excuses in Liberal Legal Orders 335  
*Christoph Bublitz and Reinhard Merkel*
- Index 375