

Contents

1	Introduction and Context	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Internationalisation of Criminal Procedure	8
1.3	Scientific Knowledge and Adjudication	12
1.3.1	Opinion Evidence and the Influence of Generalisations . . .	17
1.3.2	Expert Evidence as Specialist Knowledge	20
1.3.3	Delegated Fact-Finding	21
2	Expert Evidence in International Criminal Trials	23
2.1	Early Examples	23
2.2	Expert Evidence in the Ad Hoc Courts	27
2.2.1	General Evidentiary Standards	27
2.2.2	The Law on Expert Evidence	29
2.3	Expert Evidence in the International Criminal Court	51
2.3.1	General Evidentiary Standards	52
2.3.2	Law on Expert Evidence	55
3	The Challenge of Expert Evidence and Rational Decision-Making . . .	63
3.1	Expert Bias	64
3.1.1	Personal Bias	68
3.1.2	Manifestation of Bias	72
3.1.3	Structural Bias (Adversarial or Selection Bias)	76
3.2	Expert Disagreement as a Reflection of Uncertainty in Science . . .	78
3.3	Bias and Disagreement in the Context of Adversarial and Inquisitorial Criminal Processes	81
3.4	Rational Fact-Finding and Expert Evidence	85
3.4.1	Remarks on the Evaluation of Expert Evidence, Weight of Evidence and Reliability	85
3.4.2	Rationalist Tradition	87
3.4.3	Legal Epistemology	90

4 Expert Evidence in Domestic Jurisdictions	93
4.1 Expert Evidence in Criminal and Civil Procedural Contexts	95
4.2 Anglo-Saxon Common Law Tradition	97
4.2.1 Expert Evidence in the Law of England and Wales	98
4.2.2 Expert Evidence in American Law	123
4.2.3 Current Common Law Procedural Safeguards Against Unreliability	145
4.3 Romano-Germanic Civil Law Traditions	149
4.3.1 Expert Evidence in French Law	152
4.3.2 Expert Evidence in German Law	158
4.3.3 Expert Evidence in Russian Law	166
5 Conclusion	175
5.1 General Considerations	175
5.2 Recommendations for the Reliability Test in International Criminal Proceedings	179
Table of Cases	183
Bibliography	191