

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Research Background	1
1.2	Demarcation of Research	5
1.3	Terminology	6
1.4	Outline	8
	References	10
<b>2</b>	<b>The Dutch Choice of Law Rules on Divorce</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1	Introduction	13
2.2	The Dutch Choice of Law Act on Divorce	14
2.2.1	Development of the Choice of Law on Divorce	14
2.2.2	Scope of Application: Same-Sex Marriages	16
2.2.3	Foundation of the CLAD: <i>Favor Divortii</i>	17
2.3	The Spouses' Choice as to the Applicable Law	19
2.3.1	General: Limited Choice	19
2.3.2	Choice for the Application of Dutch Law ( <i>Lex Fori</i> )	21
2.3.3	Choice for the Application of the Common National (Foreign) Law of the Spouses	22
2.3.4	Formal Requirements of the <i>Professio Iuris</i>	22
2.4	The Law Applicable to Divorce in the Absence of a <i>Professio Iuris</i>	26
2.4.1	Nationality or Domicile?	26
2.4.2	Common National Law of the Spouses	28
2.4.3	The Law of the Country in Which Both Parties Have Their Habitual Residence	36
2.4.4	The <i>Lex Fori</i>	37
2.4.5	Date of Reference	38
2.5	Public Policy Exception	39
2.6	The Proposed Amendments of the Choice of Law on Divorce	40
2.7	Conclusion	46
	References	47

<b>3 The Dutch Choice of Law Rules on the Termination of Registered Partnerships</b>	51
3.1 Introduction	51
3.2 The Absence of a Treaty in the Field of Registered Partnerships	53
3.3 The Dutch Choice of Law Act on Registered Partnerships	55
3.3.1 General	56
3.3.2 Characteristics of the CLARP	58
3.3.3 Scope of Application	61
3.3.4 Transitional Provision	62
3.4 The Law Applicable to the Termination of Registered Partnerships	64
3.4.1 Foundation of the Choice of Law Rules on the Termination of Registered Partnerships: <i>Favor Dissolutionis</i>	64
3.4.2 Structure of the Choice of Law on the Termination of Registered Partnerships	65
3.4.3 The Choice of Law Rules: General Remarks	66
3.4.4 Termination of Registered Partnerships Entered into in the Netherlands	68
3.4.5 Termination of Registered Partnerships Entered into Abroad	68
3.5 Public Policy Exception	74
3.6 Will the Dutch Proposal on Private International Law Bring any Changes?	75
3.7 Conclusion	76
References	77
<b>4 The Europeanisation of International Family Law: The EU Legislature's Competence</b>	79
4.1 Introduction	79
4.2 The Transfer of Competence in the Field of International Family Law to the EU	80
4.2.1 Prior to the Treaty of Amsterdam	81
4.2.2 The Treaty of Amsterdam	84
4.2.3 After the Treaty of Amsterdam	89
4.3 Why is the EU Developing a Unified System of International Family Law?	93
4.3.1 General Objectives Fulfilled by a Choice of Law Unification	94
4.3.2 Specific European Aims and Objectives	98
4.4 EU Competence to Enact Measures of International Family Law: Scope and Limits of Article 81 TFEU	110

4.4.1	Judicial Cooperation in Civil Matters . . . . .	111
4.4.2	Cross-Border Implications . . . . .	113
4.4.3	‘Particularly when Necessary for the Proper Functioning of the Internal Market’ . . . . .	113
4.4.4	The Principles of Subsidiarity and Proportionality. . . . .	115
4.4.5	Legislative Procedure: Article 81(3) TFEU . . . . .	121
4.5	The Role of the European Court of Justice. . . . .	122
4.6	Territorial Limits to European Private International Law: ‘Europe à Deux Vitesses’ . . . . .	124
4.6.1	United Kingdom and Ireland . . . . .	125
4.6.2	Denmark . . . . .	126
4.7	Synthesis: Is the European Union Competent to Enact a Common Choice of Law on Divorce? . . . . .	127
4.7.1	The Development of a Unified Choice of Law on Divorce . . . . .	127
4.7.2	Competence Pursuant to Article 65 EC-Treaty . . . . .	130
4.7.3	Competence Pursuant to Article 81 TFEU . . . . .	133
4.8	Conclusion . . . . .	133
	References . . . . .	134
<b>5</b>	<b>The Proposed European Choice of Law Rules on Divorce . . . . .</b>	<b>145</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	145
5.2	Divorce in Substantive and Private International Law of the Member States. . . . .	146
5.2.1	The Substantive Divorce Laws of the Member States . . .	147
5.2.2	The Choice of Law Rules on Divorce of the Member States . . . . .	149
5.3	The Objectives of the Brussels IIter-Proposal . . . . .	152
5.3.1	Exclusion of <i>Renvoi</i> . . . . .	154
5.4	The Scope of Application of the Proposed Choice of Law Rules . . . . .	156
5.4.1	Territorial Scope of Application . . . . .	156
5.4.2	Substantive Scope of Application . . . . .	159
5.4.3	Temporal Scope of Application . . . . .	162
5.5	The Proposed Choice of Law on Divorce. . . . .	162
5.5.1	The Spouses’ Choice as to the Applicable Law . . . . .	162
5.5.2	Formal Requirements of the <i>Professio Iuris</i> . . . . .	165
5.5.3	The Applicable Law in the Absence of a Choice by the Parties . . . . .	170
5.5.4	Date of Reference. . . . .	183
5.5.5	The Law Applicable to Divorce: Synthesis. . . . .	184
5.6	The Application of Foreign Law . . . . .	184

5.7	Public Policy Exception . . . . .	186
5.8	Does the Proposal Attain the Objectives as Set Out by the Commission in the Explanatory Memorandum to the Brussels II <del>ter</del> -Proposal? . . . . .	188
5.9	Conclusion . . . . .	191
	References . . . . .	192
<b>6</b>	<b>The Failure of the Establishment of a Common European Choice of Law on Divorce . . . . .</b>	<b>199</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	199
6.2	The Position of Malta . . . . .	200
6.3	The Problem of Competence. . . . .	202
6.3.1	Does the Internal Market Require a Unified Choice of Law on Divorce? . . . . .	202
6.3.2	Fulfilment of the Principles of Subsidiarity and Proportionality . . . . .	205
6.3.3	Problem of European Competence Solved? . . . . .	209
6.4	The Methodological Problem . . . . .	211
6.5	Will There be a Common Choice of Law on Divorce in the EU in the Future? . . . . .	217
6.5.1	Enhanced Cooperation. . . . .	219
6.5.2	Limitation of the Scope of Application to Intra-European Cases. . . . .	223
6.5.3	Enhancing the Role of the <i>Lex Fori</i> . . . . .	224
6.5.4	Less Stringent Interpretation of the Principle of the Closest Connection . . . . .	230
6.5.5	Synthesis . . . . .	232
6.6	Conclusion . . . . .	232
	References . . . . .	233
<b>7</b>	<b>The Dutch and the European Choice of Law Rules on Divorce Compared. . . . .</b>	<b>237</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	237
7.2	General Observations. . . . .	239
7.2.1	Arrangement of the Choice of Law: General and Specific Provisions . . . . .	239
7.2.2	Foundation of the Choice of Law on Divorce. . . . .	241
7.2.3	Scope of Application. . . . .	241
7.3	Structure and Composition of the Choice of Law Rules on Divorce . . . . .	244
7.4	The Spouses' Choice as to the Applicable Law. . . . .	245
7.4.1	Current Dutch Choice of Law on Divorce . . . . .	245

7.4.2	Proposed Dutch Choice of Law on Divorce . . . . .	246
7.5	Formal Requirements of the <i>Professio Iuris</i> . . . . .	247
7.5.1	Form of the <i>Professio Iuris</i> . . . . .	247
7.5.2	Implied Choice of the Spouses as to the Applicable Law . . . . .	249
7.5.3	Time of Choice as to the Applicable Law . . . . .	251
7.6	The Law Applicable to Divorce in the Absence of a <i>Professio Iuris</i> . . . . .	252
7.6.1	Current Dutch Choice of Law on Divorce . . . . .	252
7.6.2	Proposed Dutch Choice of Law on Divorce . . . . .	255
7.7	Has the Netherlands Rightly Opposed the Brussels <i>IIter</i> -Proposal as Regards Its Content? . . . . .	256
7.7.1	The Current Dutch Choice of Law on Divorce . . . . .	256
7.7.2	The Proposed Dutch Choice of Law on Divorce . . . . .	258
7.7.3	Synthesis . . . . .	258
7.8	Conclusion . . . . .	259
	References . . . . .	260
<b>8</b>	<b>A Unified System of International Family Law in the European Union: Which Way Forward? . . . . .</b>	<b>263</b>
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	263
8.2	What can be Learned from the Brussels <i>IIter</i> -‘Adventure’? . . . .	264
8.2.1	General . . . . .	265
8.2.2	Transparency . . . . .	267
8.2.3	Interrelationship with Other Areas of (International) Family Law . . . . .	269
8.3	Aims and Objectives of European International Family Law. . . .	270
8.4	The Methodology of European International Family Law. . . . .	273
8.4.1	The Need for a Theoretical Foundation of European International Family Law. . . . .	274
8.4.2	Unique Character of the European Union. . . . .	276
8.4.3	General Characteristics of European International Family Law <i>De Lege Lata</i> . . . . .	287
8.4.4	The Methodology of European International Family Law <i>De Lege Ferenda</i> . . . . .	290
8.5	Synthesis: Recommendations to the EU Legislature. . . . .	302
8.6	To Conclude . . . . .	304
	References . . . . .	304

<b>Appendix 1: Brussels <i>Iter</i>-Proposal . . . . .</b>	<b>313</b>
<b>Appendix 2: Council Draft on the Brussels <i>Iter</i>-Proposal of 23 May 2008 . . . . .</b>	<b>319</b>
<b>Appendix 3: Resolution of the European Parliament on the Brussels <i>Iter</i>-Proposal. . . . .</b>	<b>329</b>
<b>Table of Cases. . . . .</b>	<b>335</b>
<b>Index . . . . .</b>	<b>339</b>