

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introductory Remarks . . . . .</b>	<b>1</b>
A.	Methodology . . . . .	3
B.	Research Questions and Scientific Interest . . . . .	5
C.	Content . . . . .	7
	References . . . . .	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Overuse of Exclusivity in Patent Law . . . . .</b>	<b>11</b>
A.	Analytical Framework and Status Quo . . . . .	12
1.	Calabresi and Melamed's Categorisation and Critique . . . . .	13
a.	Calabresi and Melamed's Categorisation . . . . .	13
b.	Critique Regarding the Categorisation . . . . .	15
c.	Evaluation . . . . .	16
2.	Modification of the Categorisation and Identification of Its Elements . . . . .	17
a.	The Question of Entitlement and Emergence of a Right . . . . .	17
b.	Enforcement of Entitlements by Property Rules . . . . .	20
c.	Enforcement of Entitlements by Liability Rules . . . . .	22
(1)	Concretisation of the Definition Under Patent Law . . . . .	22
(2)	Sub-categorisation . . . . .	23
(3)	Application of the Modified Definition and the Sub-categorisation . . . . .	24
(a)	Compulsory Liability Rule . . . . .	24
(b)	Private Liability Rule Regimes . . . . .	26
i.	Option to Declare the Willingness to License (Licence of Right) . . . . .	27
ii.	Possibility to Form or Join Patent Pools . . . . .	27
iii.	Royalty Collection Clearinghouses . . . . .	29
iv.	Fair, Reasonable and Non-discriminatory Declaration? . . . . .	30
(c)	Liability Rule by Default . . . . .	31
3.	Results . . . . .	32

B. Property Rules, Liability Rules and Economic Efficiency . . . . .	33
1. The Contribution of Calabresi and Melamed . . . . .	35
2. Challenges and Extensions to Calabresi and Melamed's Concept . . . . .	36
a. Importance of Assessment Costs Under Liability Rule Protection . . . . .	36
b. Importance of Enforcement Costs Under Property and Liability Rule Protection . . . . .	37
c. Liability Rules in Low Transaction Cost Settings? . . . . .	38
(1) Arguments in Favour of Liability Rules in Low Transaction Cost Settings . . . . .	38
(a) More Efficient Contracting Under Liability Rules? . . . . .	38
(b) Lesser Chance of Failure for Efficient Bargains Under Liability Rules? . . . . .	39
(c) Lower Endowment Effects Under Liability Rules? . . . . .	39
(d) Inefficient Hold-Out Situations Under Property Rules? . . . . .	40
(2) Arguments Against Liability Rules in Low Transaction Cost Settings . . . . .	40
(a) Do Liability Rules Lead to Inefficient Bargaining? . . . . .	41
(b) Risk of Under-Compensation Under Liability Rules? . . . . .	41
(c) Endowment Effects Lower Under Property Rules? . . . . .	42
(d) Are Parties Acting Fairly Under Property Rules? . . . . .	42
3. Interim Results and Evaluation . . . . .	43
4. The Particularities of Patent Law . . . . .	44
a. Arguments for Property Rules . . . . .	45
(1) Risk of Under-Compensation Under Liability Rules . . . . .	45
(2) Risk of Under-Use Under Liability Rules . . . . .	46
(3) Risk of Free-Riding and Inefficient Entries Under Liability Rules . . . . .	47
(4) Right Holders in Best Position to Lower Transaction Costs . . . . .	47
b. Arguments for Liability Rules . . . . .	48
(1) Uncertainty About Boundaries of a Patent . . . . .	49
(2) Uncertainty About Validity of a Patent . . . . .	50
(3) Risk of Inefficient Hold-Out, Hold-Up and Anticompetitive Effects Under Property Rules . . . . .	51
(4) Risk of Negative Effects due to Tragedy of the Anticommons and Patent Thickets . . . . .	53
(5) Endowment Effects with Property Rules . . . . .	54
c. Evaluation . . . . .	55
5. Results . . . . .	57

C. Property Rules or Liability Rules: Other Perspectives . . . . .	57
1. Distributive and Other Justice Aspects . . . . .	58
a. The Influence on the Choice Between Property and Liability Rules . . . . .	58
b. Distributive and Other Justice Aspects in Patent Law . . . . .	59
c. Evaluation . . . . .	61
2. Legal Aspects . . . . .	61
a. Legal Constraints due to the Paris Convention, the Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights and Directive 2004/48/EC . . . . .	62
(1) Paris Convention . . . . .	62
(2) Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights . . . . .	63
(3) Directive 2004/48/EC . . . . .	64
(4) Evaluation . . . . .	64
b. Legal Constraints due to Constitutional Law and Fundamental Rights . . . . .	65
(1) German Basic Law . . . . .	65
(a) Liability Rule by Default Mechanisms . . . . .	66
(b) Compulsory Liability Rule Mechanisms . . . . .	68
(c) Implementation of Liability Rules . . . . .	69
(2) The European Convention on Human Rights and the European Union Charter . . . . .	69
3. Interim Results . . . . .	71
D. Evidence from the German and European Patent Law System . . . . .	71
1. Uncertainty About Boundaries . . . . .	72
2. Uncertainty About Validity . . . . .	72
a. Interpretation of Vague Law Necessary . . . . .	74
b. Organisation in the Patent Offices and Courts . . . . .	75
c. Incentives to Challenge Validity . . . . .	76
d. Interim Results . . . . .	78
3. Patent Thickets, Tragedy of the Anticommons . . . . .	78
a. Empirical Evidence . . . . .	78
b. Interim Results . . . . .	80
4. Hold-Out, Hold-Up and Patent Trolls . . . . .	80
a. Inefficient Hold-Out . . . . .	80
b. Hold-Up and Patent Trolls . . . . .	82
(1) <i>IPCom v. Nokia and HTC</i> . . . . .	83
(2) Factors Benefiting Patent Trolls . . . . .	84
c. Hold-Up and Patent Ambush . . . . .	84
d. Interim Results . . . . .	85
E. Results . . . . .	85
References . . . . .	86

<b>3 Private Liability Rule Regimes . . . . .</b>	97
A. Declaration of Willingness to License and Licences of Right . . . . .	99
1. Introductory Consideration Regarding Section 23 Patent Act . . . . .	99
a. Explanation of Section 23 Patent Act . . . . .	100
(1) The Declaration of Willingness to License and the Withdrawal . . . . .	100
(2) The Effects of the Declaration and the Withdrawal . . . . .	101
(3) The Legal Situation Between the Patent Owner and the User . . . . .	101
(4) Determination of the Amount of Remuneration . . . . .	103
b. Theoretical Considerations Regarding Section 23 Patent Act . . . . .	104
(1) The Aim of the Legislature . . . . .	104
(2) Criticism of Section 23 Patent Act and Its Explanatory Memoranda . . . . .	106
(3) Additional Theoretical Considerations . . . . .	107
(a) About the Construction of Section 23 Patent Act . . . . .	107
(b) The Procedural Rules of Section 23 Patent Act . . . . .	107
2. Theoretical Economic Considerations Regarding the Use of Section 23 Patent Act . . . . .	108
a. Non-exclusive Licensing Strategies . . . . .	110
(1) Complementary Assets Theory . . . . .	110
(2) Diffusion of Innovation . . . . .	111
(3) Licensing as a Commitment Device . . . . .	112
b. Cost-Saving Strategies . . . . .	113
(1) Large Patent Portfolio . . . . .	114
(2) Cross-Licensing . . . . .	114
(3) Non-core Technology . . . . .	115
c. Patent Litigation and Settlement Outcome Strategies . . . . .	115
3. Empirical Results Regarding Section 23 Patent Act . . . . .	116
a. Overview . . . . .	116
b. The Users . . . . .	118
(1) Users Across Technology Classes . . . . .	118
(2) Users Across Applicant Types . . . . .	120
c. Time of Declaration and Patent Lifetime . . . . .	120
d. Notification, Licensing and Determination of the Amount of Remuneration . . . . .	122
e. Withdrawal of the Willingness to License . . . . .	123
f. Empirical Specifications (Regression Analysis) . . . . .	123
g. Interim Results . . . . .	125
4. Licences of Right in the Proposal for a European Patent with Unitary Effect, France and the United Kingdom . . . . .	125
a. Licences of Right Under Regulation (EU) No. 1257/2012 . . . . .	126
b. Licence de Droit in France . . . . .	127
(1) Comparison with Section 23 Patent Act . . . . .	127
(2) Reasons for the Repeal . . . . .	129

c. Licences of Right in the United Kingdom . . . . .	129
d. Interim Results and Additional Information . . . . .	131
5. Evaluation . . . . .	132
6. European Interoperability Patent . . . . .	134
a. The Concept and Advantages Mentioned by Its Advocates . . . . .	134
b. Evaluation . . . . .	135
7. Results . . . . .	136
B. Patent Pools with Liability Rule Mechanisms . . . . .	136
1. Existence of Patent Pools and Important Variants . . . . .	137
2. General Economic Advantages, Disadvantages and Risks . . . . .	138
a. Advantages . . . . .	139
b. Disadvantages and Risks . . . . .	141
3. Current Legal Environment . . . . .	143
a. Commission Notice . . . . .	144
b. Decisions of the European Commission . . . . .	145
4. Evaluation of the Legal Environment and Suggestions . . . . .	146
a. Regulation Under European Antitrust Law . . . . .	146
b. Differentiation Between Complements and Substitutes . . . . .	147
c. Differentiation Between Essential and Non-essential Patents . . . . .	149
d. Determination of the Amount of Remuneration . . . . .	150
e. Pool-Openness, Grant-Back Obligations and Independent Licensing . . . . .	151
f. Validity of Patents . . . . .	153
g. Institutional Framework . . . . .	154
h. Raising Incentives for Forming and Joining Even Further? . . . . .	154
5. Results . . . . .	156
C. Royalty Collection Clearinghouses . . . . .	157
1. Basic Aspects and Decisions Based on Economic Considerations . . . . .	158
a. General Advantages and Disadvantages . . . . .	158
b. In General: Incentives for Joining and Using a Clearinghouse . . . . .	161
c. Degree of Involvement in the Licensing Process . . . . .	162
d. Ownership, Degree of Specialisation and Coverage . . . . .	163
e. Pricing of the Services . . . . .	164
f. Determination of the Amount of Remuneration . . . . .	165
g. Interim Results . . . . .	165
2. Some Further Legal Considerations and Suggestions . . . . .	166
a. Similar Legal Regulation to Collecting Societies? . . . . .	166
b. Implementation in European Law? . . . . .	168
c. Connecting with the Possibility to Declare the Willingness to License? . . . . .	168
3. Results . . . . .	170
D. Results . . . . .	170
References . . . . .	172

<b>4 Compulsory Liability Rule and Liability Rule by Default</b>	
<b>Regimes . . . . .</b>	177
A. Current Legal Situation and Its Disadvantages . . . . .	178
1. Mechanisms Inside the Patent Law System . . . . .	178
a. Compulsory Licence in the Public Interest . . . . .	178
b. Compulsory Licence Regarding Dependent Inventions . . . . .	181
c. Common Rules . . . . .	182
(1) Conditions for Compulsory Licences . . . . .	182
(2) Reasonable Remuneration . . . . .	183
(3) Relevant Procedural Rules . . . . .	184
d. Limitation of the Effect in the Case of National Emergency . . . . .	186
2. Antitrust Law . . . . .	187
a. European Antitrust Law . . . . .	187
(1) Dominant Position . . . . .	188
(2) Abuse of a Dominant Position . . . . .	189
(3) Important Procedural Aspects . . . . .	191
(4) Evaluation of the Situation . . . . .	192
b. German Antitrust Law . . . . .	194
(1) The Jurisprudence . . . . .	195
(2) Evaluation of the Situation . . . . .	196
3. Interim Results . . . . .	198
B. Different Mechanisms, Practical Relevance and Evaluation . . . . .	200
1. Compulsory Licence . . . . .	201
2. Automatic Compulsory Licence . . . . .	203
3. Limitation of the Effect of a Patent . . . . .	205
4. Compulsory Patent Buyout . . . . .	206
5. Limitation of Injunctive Relief . . . . .	207
6. Liability Rule by Default . . . . .	209
7. Evaluation and Interim Results . . . . .	211
C. Some Perspectives for Improvement of the Patent System . . . . .	212
1. More Economic Interpretation of Existing Provisions . . . . .	214
a. Less Restrictive Interpretation of General Terms in Patent Law? . . . . .	214
b. Facilitating the Grant of Preliminary Decisions? . . . . .	216
c. More Flexibility with Limitation of Injunctive Relief? . . . . .	216
d. Improving Legal Certainty and Less Strict Rules in Competition Law? . . . . .	217
2. Alteration of the German and European Patent System . . . . .	219
a. Alteration and Unification of the Instance Deciding About the Application of Compulsory Liability Rules? . . . . .	219
b. Providing the Possibility of Licensing Know-How? . . . . .	221
c. Automatic Compulsory Licences for Specific Constellations? . . . . .	221
d. Liability Rule by Default Mechanisms in Specific Industry Sectors or Constellations? . . . . .	222
D. Results . . . . .	224
References . . . . .	225

<b>5 Overall View and Conclusion . . . . .</b>	229
A. General Remarks and Concrete Suggestions . . . . .	229
B. Shortcomings and Room for Further Research . . . . .	231
References . . . . .	233