## **Contents**

Ĺ	Intr	oduction	1		
	1.1	Definitions of International Crimes, State Sovereignty			
	and Cosmopolitanism				
	1.2	Why Do We Need a Definition for Terrorism?	7		
	1.3	Terrorism and the ICC: Why Terrorism Was Not Included			
		into the Rome Statute	ç		
		1.3.1 Efforts to Include Terrorism into the Rome Statute	ç		
		1.3.2 Why Terrorism Was Not Included			
		into the Rome Statute	12		
	1.4	State Sovereignty Theories and International Law	16		
		1.4.1 State-Centric Theory and Cosmopolitanism			
		in International Law	16		
		1.4.2 Procedural and Substantive Issues of the Relationship			
		Between State Sovereignty			
		and International Criminal Law	19		
	1.5	The Architecture of the Book: The Interplay Between State			
		Sovereignty Theories and Cosmopolitanism on the Process			
		of Criminalisation and Definition of Aggression			
		and Terrorism	22		
	Refe	rences	24		
2	Stat	e Sovereignty, Cosmopolitanism and the International			
		ninal Court	27		
	2.1	Introduction	28		
	2.2	The Two Theories	29		
		2.2.1 The Traditional State-Centric Theory			
		About the Relationship Between Sovereignty			
		and International Law	29		
		2.2.2 Cosmopolitan Theory and International Law	31		
	2.3	Sovereignty and International Law:			
		The UN Charter Provisions	34		

viii Contents

	2.4	Sovereignty and International Law: The Rome Statute						
		and the Principle of Complementarity	39					
		2.4.1 Complementarity in Principle	40					
		2.4.2 Complementarity in Practice	47					
		2.4.3 The Applicability of the Complementarity Regime						
		on Cases of Aggression	51					
	2.5	Conclusion	57					
	Refe	erences	58					
3	The	Paradigm of Aggression: State-Centric						
	and Cosmopolitan Approaches in the Effort to Outlaw							
	and	Criminalise Aggression	63					
	3.1	Introduction	64					
	3.2	The Covenant of the League of Nations: A Cosmopolitan Idea						
		with a State-Centric Application	65					
	3.3	A Cosmopolitan Approach: 'Crimes Against Peace'						
		Under the Nuremberg and Tokyo Charters	69					
	3.4	A State-Centric Approach: 'Act of Aggression'						
		Under the UN Charter and UNGA Resolution 3314	72					
		3.4.1 The Discretionary Powers of the Security Council						
		Under UN Charter Article 39	72					
		3.4.2 The UNGA Resolution 3314: A Poor Legal Precedent	75					
	3.5	Conclusion	77					
	Refe	erences	78					
4	The Paradigm of Aggression: The Kampala Definition							
		Lessons Learnt for the Purpose of Defining						
	Inte	rnational Terrorism	81					
	4.1	Introduction	82					
	4.2	The 'Leadership Requirement' Clause	85					
	4.3	Act of Aggression: The Threshold Clause	88					
	4.4	Sovereignty Versus Cosmopolitan Dynamics in the Context						
		of the ICC's Jurisdiction Over the Crime of Aggression	92					
		4.4.1 The Issue of Consistency with the UN Charter						
		and the Role of the Security Council	92					
		4.4.2 Article 15bis: A Fair Compromise?	95					
	4.5	Lessons Learnt from the Paradigm of Aggression	97					
		4.5.1 How the 'Leadership Requirement' Clause						
		of the Definition of Aggression Serves Cosmopolitan						
		Purposes in the Context of Criminalising Terrorism	98					
		4.5.2 Why the 'Manifest Violation' Threshold in the Definition						
		of Aggression Does Not Effectively Address the Issue						
		of Balancing State-Centric and Cosmopolitan Concerns						
		and Should Be Abandoned in the Context						
		of Criminalising Terrorism	100					

Contents ix

	4.5.3 How Article 15 <i>bis</i> is a Manifestation of Some Pragmatic Limitations to Cosmopolitan Aspirations in the Context
	of the ICC's Exercise of Jurisdiction
4.6	
	ferences
	ne Paradigm of Terrorism: State-Centric and Cosmopolitan
	oproaches in Some Current Efforts owards Its Criminalisation
5.1	
5.2	
3.2	of the Rome Statute
	5.2.1 A Brief Historical Account of the Efforts
	to Criminalise Terrorism
	5.2.2 International Jurisdiction Over Terrorism
	as a More Effective Response to Terrorist Acts
	5.2.3 The Question of the Inclusion of Treaty Crimes
	into the Rome Statute
5.3	
0.0	of Criminalising International Terrorism in Prominent
	Anti-Terrorist Security Council Resolutions
	5.3.1 'Threat to the Peace', 'Armed Attack' or Both?
	The Pro-State Sovereignty Ambiguousness
	of Resolution 1368
	5.3.2 Resolution 1373: Security Council Legislation
	Without UN Definition
5.4	
	International Terrorism
	5.4.1 Judicial Activism Versus State Sovereignty:
	A Customary Law Definition
	of International Terrorism?
	5.4.2 The UNGA Draft Comprehensive Convention
	on International Terrorism: A Road to Balance?
5.5	5 Conclusion
Re	ferences
6 A	Definition of Terrorism in the Making:
	lancing State Interests with Cosmopolitan Ideals
6.1	•
	in International and Regional Instruments: Common Ground
	and Points of Contention
	6.1.1 A Comparative Analysis of the Definition
	of the Financing of Terrorism Convention
	and Definitions in Regional and Domestic Law
	Instruments

x Contents

		6.1.2	The Definition of the UN Draft Comprehensive			
			Convention on Terrorism	153		
		6.1.3	The Appeal's Chamber Decision of the UN Special			
			Tribunal for Lebanon	156		
	6.2	Reach	ing the Required Balance: How the Consented,			
		Conte	sted and the 'Internationality' Elements Can Contribute			
		to the	Creation of Due Balance Between State-Centric			
		and C	osmopolitan Concerns in Defining Terrorism	161		
		6.2.1	'Creation of a State of Terror', the Intention			
			to Influence Politics and the Political/Ideological			
			Motive Requirement	161		
		6.2.2	Exemption of Activities of Particular Groups			
			or Individuals	166		
		6.2.3	The International Element of a Terrorist Act	168		
	Refe	erences		174		
7	Conclusion					
	7.1 Putting the Pieces Together					
	Refe			184		
In	dex			185		