Contents

Sabine Gless and Thomas Richter	1
Part I Comparative Perspectives	
The Potential to Secure a Fair Trial Through Evidence Exclusion: A Swiss Perspective	15
The Potential to Secure a Fair Trial Through Evidence Exclusion: A German Perspective Thomas Weigend	61
Regulating Interrogations and Excluding Confessions in the United States: Balancing Individual Rights and the Search for the Truth Jenia Iontcheva Turner	93
The Potential to Secure a Fair Trial Through Evidence Exclusion: A Taiwanese Perspective Yu-Hsiung Lin, Shih-Fan Wang, Chung-Yen Chen, Tsai-Chen Tsai and Chiou-Ming Tsai	131
The Potential to Secure a Fair Trial Through Evidence Exclusion: A Chinese Perspective Na Jiang	163
Criminal Justice and the Exclusion of Incriminating Statements in Singapore	213

viii Contents

Part II Exclusionary Rules—Quo Vadis	
The Purposes and Functions of Exclusionary Rules: A Comparative Overview	255
The Fair Trial Rationale for Excluding Wrongfully Obtained Evidence Hock Lai Ho	283
Exclusionary Rule of Illegal Evidence in China: Observation from Historical and Empirical Perspectives	307
Securing a Fair Trial Through Exclusionary Rules: Do Theory and Practice Form a Well-Balanced Whole? Susanne Knickmeier	329
Exclusionary Rules—Is It Time for Change?	349