

Contents

<i>Preface to the Second Edition</i>	page xv
<i>Preface to the First Edition</i>	xix
<i>Treaties and Conventions</i>	xxii
<i>EU Legislative Instruments</i>	xxiii
<i>EU Documents</i>	xxiv
<i>Domestic Legislation</i>	xxvi
<i>Court Decisions and Awards</i>	xxx

Introduction 1

I.1 Explanation of the Term ‘Commercial’	2
I.2 Explanation of the Term ‘International’	3
I.3 The Public International Law Dimension	5

1 International Contract Practice and Its Expectations in Terms of the Governing Law 8

1.1 Issues Arising Out of International Contract Practice	8
1.2 International Contracts	11
1.3 The Models for International Contract Drafting	13
1.4 The Dynamics of Contract Drafting	18
1.5 Examples of Self-Sufficient Contract Drafting	22
1.5.1 Boilerplate Clauses	23
(a) Entire Agreement Clause	23
(b) No Waiver Clause	25
(c) No Oral Amendments Clause	26
1.5.2 Subject to Contract Clause	27
1.5.3 Termination Clause	29
1.5.4 Arbitration Clauses	30
1.5.5 Other Clauses	32
1.6 The Chimera of the Autonomous Contract	32
1.7 The Relational Contract	34
1.8 The Balance	38

2	The Role of Transnational Law	39
2.1	Introduction	39
2.2	Sources of Transnational Law	46
2.2.1	Trade Usages	48
	(a) Contract Practice	48
	(i) Detached Contracts	49
	(ii) Standard Contracts	50
	(iii) 'Good Commercial Practice'	51
	(iv) A Uniform Contract Practice?	52
2.2.2	General Principles	54
	(a) Battle of the Forms	55
2.2.3	General Principles of Commercial Law and of Public International Law	56
	(a) An Example of Cross-Fertilisation: International Administrative Law	58
	(b) An Example of Fragmentation: Unilateral State Declarations and Contract Law	64
2.2.4	The CISG	66
2.2.5	The Principles of International Commercial Contracts (UPICC) and the Principles of European Contract Law (PECL)	70
	(a) The UPICC and the PECL	70
	(b) Uses of the Principles	73
	(c) Use of the UPICC to Interpret the CISG?	74
	(d) Use of the UPICC to Correct National Law in Investment Arbitration?	78
	(e) Also Best Rules	82
	(f) The Problematic Central Role of the Principle of Good Faith	83
	(i) Entire Agreement	87
	(ii) No Waiver	90
	(iii) Subject to Contract	91
	(iv) Termination	92
	(g) An Autonomous Principle of Good Faith?	92
2.2.6	Soft Sources Harmonising Specific Sectors	96
	(a) INCOTERMS	97
	(b) UCP 600	99
	(c) Model Contracts	100
	(d) The UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration	101
	(e) IBA Guidelines on Conflict of Interests	101

(f) IBA Rules on Taking of Evidence and Prague Rules	102
(g) Corporate Social Responsibility	103
2.2.7 Summing Up	106
2.3 No Replacement of the Governing Law	106
2.3.1 Can Transnational Law be Chosen as the Only Governing Law?	107
2.3.2 When the Transnational Law Conflicts with the Governing Law	110
(a) The UCP 600	111
(i) Case 1	111
(ii) Case 2	112
(iii) Case 3	113
(b) The UPICC: Irrevocable Offer and Consideration	114
(c) ISDA Model Swap Agreement	115
(d) IBA Guidelines on Conflict of Interests	116
2.3.3 When the Transnational Law Competes with the Contract Terms	117
(a) Ancillary Obligations Not Regulated in the Contract	118
(i) No Assignment	119
(b) Competing Contract Regulation	120
(i) Notice of Defect	120
(ii) Hardship	120
(iii) No Oral Amendments	121
(c) Discretionary Contract Rights	123
(i) Subject to Contract	123
(d) Conclusion	124
2.3.4 When the Transnational Law Has Gaps: Autonomous Interpretation	125
(a) The UPICC: <i>Force Majeure</i> and Choice Between Contracts	126
2.3.5 When the Transnational Law Needs Interpretation: Autonomous Interpretation	127
(a) The CISG: <i>Force Majeure</i> and the Requirement of Beyond the Control	129
2.4 Conclusion	133
3 The Impact of the Governing Law	135
3.1 English Law Privileges Predictability	136
3.2 Civil Law Systems Privilege Justice, but to Different Extents	142
3.3 Convergence between Civil Law and Common Law?	145

3.4	The Effects of the Governing Law on the Interpretation and Construction of Contractual Terms	155
3.4.1	Boilerplate Clauses	155
	(a) Entire Agreement Clause	155
	(b) No Waiver Clause	158
	(c) No Oral Amendments Clause	159
3.4.2	Subject to Contract	160
3.4.3	Termination Clauses	162
3.5	Contractual Terms Contradicting, Supplementing or Being Supplemented by Non-mandatory Rules of the Governing Law	165
3.5.1	Representations and Warranties Clauses	166
3.5.2	Liquidated Damages	168
3.5.3	Force Majeure	171
	(a) Supplier's Failure	174
	(b) Choice Between Contracts	176
3.5.4	Hardship Clause (Material Adverse Change)	177
3.6	Contractual Terms Contradicting Mandatory Rules of the Governing Law	179
3.6.1	Firm Offer and Consideration	180
	(a) Revocation	180
	(b) Revocation and Reliance	181
3.6.2	Amendments to a Contract	183
	(a) Unilateral Obligation	183
	(b) Factual Benefit	185
3.7	Does Arbitration Ensure a Uniform Approach to Contractual Terms?	186
3.7.1	Arbitration as a Unitary System?	189
3.7.2	Various Approaches	190
3.7.3	The Importance of the Selection of Arbitrators	194
3.7.4	Conclusion	197
3.8	The Drafting Style Does Not Achieve Self-Sufficiency, but Has a Certain Merit	198
4	Which State's Law Governs an International Contract?	200
4.1	Introduction	200
4.2	Determination of the Forum as a Necessary First Step	204
4.2.1	Jurisdiction	204
	(a) Choice of Forum	206
	(b) Lacking Choice of Forum by the Parties	208
	(c) Claims Against the Parent Company for Subsidiary's Conduct Abroad	210

4.2.2	Enforceability of the Decision	213
4.3	The Most Important Conflict Rule for Contracts: Party Autonomy	214
4.3.1	Which Law to Choose	217
	(a) Choice of One of the Parties' Law	217
	(b) Criteria for Choosing the Governing Law	218
	(i) Literal Interpretation: English Law	219
	(ii) Purposive Interpretation: Germanic Law	220
	(c) Accurate Application Assumes a Thorough Understanding of the Law	221
4.3.2	Tacit Choice of English Law for International Contracts?	223
	(a) The Use of Common Law Contract Models	224
	(b) The Governing Law	225
	(c) Severability: Drafting Style as a Partial Choice of Law?	226
	(d) Drafting Style as a Tacit Choice of Law?	229
	(e) Drafting Style as the Closest Connection?	232
	(f) Conclusion	233
4.3.3	Choosing Transnational Law?	234
4.4	What If the Parties Have Not Chosen the Governing Law?	235
	(a) The Rome Convention Provided for Presumptions	236
	(b) Loose Interpretation: Article 4.2 as a Weak Presumption	237
	(c) Strict Interpretation: Article 4.2 as a Strong Presumption	238
	(d) Conclusion	238
	(e) The Rome I Regulation	239
4.5	Are All Rules of Any Other Connected Laws Excluded Once the Governing Law Is Chosen?	241
4.5.1	The Scope of Party Autonomy: Incidental Questions, Classification and Exclusive Conflict Rules	242
4.5.2	The Scope of Party Autonomy in Arbitration	247
	(a) Does Party Autonomy Exclude Any Other Conflict Rules?	248
	(b) Classification of the Issues	248
	(c) Company Law	250
	(d) Legal Capacity	253
	(e) Winding Up and Insolvency	255
	(f) Property	257
	(g) Assignment, Security Interests and Collateral	259
4.5.3	Overriding Mandatory Rules	262
	(a) Competition Law	265
	(b) Labour Law	266
	(c) Agency Contracts	267

(d) Insurance	268
(e) Good Faith and Fair Dealing	269
(f) Corporate Social Responsibility	270
4.5.4 Overriding Mandatory Rules of Third States	272
4.5.5 Impossibility of the Performance Due to a Foreign Law	273
4.5.6 Illegality of the Performance Under a Foreign Law	274
4.5.7 <i>Violation of the Ordre Public of the Lex Fori</i>	276
4.6 Private International Law and Arbitration	277
4.6.1 The Relevance of Private International Law in Arbitration	279
4.6.2 Which Private International Law Is Applicable?	281
5 Does Arbitration Ensure a Self-Sufficient Contract?	285
5.1 Briefly on Arbitration	287
5.1.1 Arbitration Is Still the Preferred Method for Dispute Resolution	288
5.1.2 Sources Applicable to Arbitration	291
5.1.3 Ad Hoc and Institutional Arbitration	293
5.2 The Relevance of National Law to International Arbitration	296
5.2.1 Is There a Difference between International Arbitration and Domestic Arbitration?	297
5.2.2 When Does State Law Become Relevant to International Arbitration?	298
(a) International Arbitration and the State Law of the Seat of Arbitration (<i>Lex Arbitri</i>)	300
(i) The Relevance of the <i>Lex Arbitri</i> to the Arbitral Procedure	301
(ii) The Relevance of the <i>Lex Arbitri</i> to the Challenge of an Arbitral Award	303
(iii) The Relevance of the <i>Lex Arbitri</i> to the Enforcement of an Arbitral Award	304
(b) International Arbitration and the State Law of the Place(s) of Enforcement	305
5.3 Specific Criteria for Invalidity or Unenforceability of Arbitral Awards: Is an International Award Really Detached from State Law?	305
5.3.1 Challenge to the Validity	306
5.3.2 Enforcement	307
5.3.3 Judicial Control Is Not an Appeal	308
(a) Scope of Judicial Control	309
(b) Intensity of Court Control	310
(i) The Issue of Jurisdiction	312

	(ii) The Issue of Public Policy: The Maximalist and the Minimalist Approach	314
	(iii) The Balance	316
	(iv) Preclusion?	319
	(v) The Consequences of Court Control on Arbitrability	320
5.4	Grounds for Setting Aside an Award or for Refusing Its Enforcement	320
5.4.1	Lack of Jurisdiction and Incidental Questions: In Particular on Corruption	320
5.4.2	Invalidity of the Arbitration Agreement	324
	(a) Choice of Law by the Parties: Separability	325
	(b) Absent Choice by the Parties: <i>Lex Arbitri</i>	328
	(c) Formal Validity	328
	(i) For Formal Validity the Standard was Set in New York Convention, but There Has Been an Evolution	328
	(ii) UNCITRAL Model Law on the Validity of Arbitration Agreements	330
	(iii) Article II of the New York Convention on the Validity of Arbitration Agreements	332
	(iv) Competition between State Law and Article II of the New York Convention	335
	(v) May the More-Favourable-Law Provision of Article VII Assist?	337
	(vi) Is the Procedural Requirement of Article IV an Obstacle?	338
	(vii) Conclusion	340
5.4.3	Legal Capacity	341
5.4.4	Constitution of the Arbitral Tribunal	343
5.4.5	<i>Excess of Power</i> (Ultra Petita Partium and Infra Petita Partium)	344
5.4.6	Irregularity of Procedure	346
5.4.7	Right to be Heard	347
5.4.8	Conflict with the Arbitrability Rule	347
	(a) Incidental Questions Do Not Affect Arbitrability of the Dispute	349
	(b) The Scope of Arbitrability and Court Control	351
	(c) Threats to the Scope of Arbitrability	354
	(d) Arbitrability of Intra-EU Investment Disputes	355
	(e) The Law Governing Arbitrability	357
	(i) In the Pre-award Phase	358

	(ii) In the Phase of the Challenge to an Award	359
	(iii) In the Phase of Enforcement of an Award	359
	(iv) The Law Governing the Dispute is Irrelevant	360
	(f) Arbitrability is Equal to the <i>Ordre Public</i> in International Disputes without a Connection to the <i>Lex Fori</i>	361
5.4.9	<i>Breach of Ordre Public (Public Policy)</i>	362
	(a) Autonomous Interpretation	363
	(b) International <i>Ordre Public</i> as a Corrective to Positive <i>Ordre Public</i>	364
	(c) Relative or Territorial <i>Ordre Public</i> as a Corrective to Positive <i>Ordre Public</i>	366
	(d) Truly International <i>Ordre Public</i> as a Transnational Phenomenon	367
	(e) Conflict with Principles, Not with Rules	368
	(f) Fundamental Principles	370
	(g) Actual Violation and Incidental Questions	371
	(h) Procedural Public Policy	373
	(i) Substantive Public Policy	374
	(i) Company Law	375
	(ii) Insolvency	377
	(iii) Property and Encumbrances	379
	(iv) Competition Law	380
	(v) EU Law	382
	(vi) Labour Law; Insurance	383
	(vii) Good Faith and Fair Dealing	383
	(viii) Embargo and Sanctions	385
	(ix) Corruption	386
	(x) Corporate Social Responsibility, Human Rights	387
	(j) Conclusion	387
5.4.10	Annulment at the Seat	388
5.5	The Power of the Arbitral Tribunal in Respect of the Parties' Pleadings	393
5.5.1	The Procedural Rules	394
5.5.2	Arbitration Agreements and the Scope of the Tribunal's Powers	394
5.5.3	Arbitration Rules	398
	(a) Party's Default	398
	(b) Adverse Inferences	398
	(c) Additional Information	400
	(d) Burden of Proof	401
	(e) Impartiality	401
	(f) The Right to be Heard	402

5.5.4	Arbitration Law	402
5.5.5	The Ultimate Borders: Excess of Power, Right to be Heard, Procedural Irregularity	405
5.6	The Tribunal: An Umpire or an Inquisitor?	406
5.6.1	Excess of Power Regarding Questions of Law: May the Tribunal Disregard the Choice of Law Contained in the Contract?	409
	(a) The Difficult Borderline between a Review of the Applicability of the Law and a Review of the Merits	410
	(b) The Tribunal Disregards the Contract's Choice and Applies Another National Law	411
	(i) Disregard of the Contract's Choice in Favour of the Otherwise Applicable Law	412
	A. Violation of the <i>Ordre Public</i> of the <i>Lex Arbitri</i> ?	413
	B. Application of the Chosen Law Refers to the Excluded Law	414
	C. Application of Private International Law	415
	D. Conclusion	415
	(ii) Disregard of the Contract's Choice in Favour of a Law That Is Not Otherwise Applicable	416
	(c) Disregard of the Contract's Choice of Law in Favour of Transnational Sources	417
	(d) Conclusion	418
5.6.2	Excess of Power Regarding Questions of Law: May the Applicable Law be Disregarded if the Parties Do Not Sufficiently Prove It?	419
5.6.3	Excess of Power Regarding Questions of Law: May the Tribunal Develop Its Own Legal Arguments?	421
	(a) New Qualifications under the Same Sources	421
	(b) Application of New Sources	422
	(c) New Remedies	424
5.6.4	Excess of Power Regarding Questions of Fact: Is the Tribunal Bound to Decide Only on Invoked Facts?	426
5.6.5	Right to Be Heard: Inviting the Parties to Comment	427
5.6.6	Distinction between Domestic and International Arbitration?	430
5.6.7	Procedural Irregularity: Application of 'Law' or of 'Rules of Law'	432
	(ii) The Application of Transnational Sources and Procedural Irregularity	435
	(iii) Conclusion	436
5.6.8	Burden of Proof: May the Tribunal Request Additional Information to Undermine Uncontested Evidence?	436
5.7	Conclusion	439

6	Conclusion	441
	<i>Appendices</i>	444
	Arbitration Rules and Model Clauses	444
	Soft Law	445
	Digests, Databases	446
	<i>Bibliography</i>	448
	<i>Index</i>	471